

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,358	08/05/2003	Gregory D. VanWiggeren	10030268-1	8816
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			ANDERSON, DENISE BROWN	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2877	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,358	VANWIGGEREN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Denise B. Anderson	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	VIC CET TO EXPIRE AMONTH	S) EBOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	ugust 2003.						
,							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 20-25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/5/03</u>.</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2877

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tan et al (US Patent No. 6,750,973).

1. As to claim 20, the applicant claims generating an expanded local oscillator signal and combining that signal with light from a device under test (DUT) for use in multiple interferometric measurements. Tan et al discloses a test structure for simultaneously characterizing two ports of an optical component using interferometer-based optical network analysis. In figure 2, Tan et al discloses a local oscillator signal 250 being generated from a source 246. Coupler 226 generates the expanded signal. The broad definition of expand is "to increase the size, volume, quantity, or scope of; enlarge" (www.dictionary.com). Based on this broad definition, the coupler 226 expands the single oscillator signal into multiple (3) signals (i.e., the coupler increases the quantity of beams). This expanded signal is combined with light from the component under test 202

Art Unit: 2877

and is used for 2 different interferometric measurements at receivers 238 and 240. See also column 3, lines 51-53.

- 2. As to claim 21, the applicant claims focusing part of the expanded signal into multiple beams. The broad term of the word focus is "to direct toward a particular point or purpose:" (<a href="www.dictionary.com">www.dictionary.com</a>). In figure 2, Tan et al discloses a coupler 228 that directs part of the expanded signal 272 towards test port 222 for use in the DUT and another portion 280 towards receiver 232. See also column 4, lines 2-4.
- 3. As to claim 22, the applicant claims combining multiple beams with light from the DUT. In figure 2, Tan et al discloses couplers 232 and 234 for combining light beams 280 and 284 from the component under test 202 with light beams 243 and 245, split by coupler 226. See also column 4, lines 28-46.
- 4. As to claim 23, the applicant claims splitting the expanded signal into a reference and a test beam. In figure 1, Tan et al discloses reference beams 243 and 245 and test beam 272, split by coupler 226. See also column 4, lines 8-13.
- 5. As to claim 24, the applicant claims combining portions of test beams and reference beams for multiple interferometric measurements. In figure 1, Tan et al discloses couplers 232 and 234, which combine reference beams 243 and 245 with test beams 280 and 284, respectively, which interfere and are received by receivers 238 and 240. See also column 4, lines 62-66; column 5, lines 24-27.
- 6. As to claim 25, the applicant claims focusing a test beam into multiple beams. Using again the broad definition of focus from above, Tan et al discloses in figure 1 the component under test 202, which directs a reflected beam and a

transmitted beam via optical paths 264 and 266, respectively, towards the optical couplers 232 and 234, respectively. See also column 4, lines 32-37.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-19 are allowed.
- 8. As to claim 1, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a beam expander in combination with a lens array and multiple parallel interferometric measurements.
- 9. Claims 1-10 are allowed since they depend on an allowed independent claim (1).
- 10. As to claim 11, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a beam expander in combination with multiple parallel interferometric measurements. Claims 12-19 are allowed since they depend on an allowed independent claim (2).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

11. Sorin et al (US Patent No. 6,766,115) discloses simultaneously monitoring multiple ports of an optical device using a single receiver.

The following art is also made of record:

12. Rosenfeldt (US Patent Application No. 2005/0117159 A1) discloses the determination of properties of an optical device under test using at least one resulting superimposed light beam.

Art Unit: 2877

# Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise B. Anderson whose telephone number is 571-272-8324. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:30 AM - 6 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

DBA

ZANDRA V. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2877

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tan et al (US Patent No. 6,750,973).

1. As to claim 20, the applicant claims generating an expanded local oscillator signal and combining that signal with light from a device under test (DUT) for use in multiple interferometric measurements. Tan et al discloses a test structure for simultaneously characterizing two ports of an optical component using interferometer-based optical network analysis. In figure 2, Tan et al discloses a local oscillator signal 250 being generated from a source 246. Coupler 226 generates the expanded signal. The broad definition of expand is "to increase the size, volume, quantity, or scope of; enlarge" (<a href="www.dictionary.com">www.dictionary.com</a>). Based on this broad definition, the coupler 226 expands the single oscillator signal into multiple (3) signals (i.e., the coupler increases the quantity of beams). This expanded signal is combined with light from the component under test 202

Art Unit: 2877

and is used for 2 different interferometric measurements at receivers 238 and 240. See also column 3, lines 51-53.

- 2. As to claim 21, the applicant claims focusing part of the expanded signal into multiple beams. The broad term of the word focus is "to direct toward a particular point or purpose:" (<a href="www.dictionary.com">www.dictionary.com</a>). In figure 2, Tan et al discloses a coupler 228 that directs part of the expanded signal 272 towards test port 222 for use in the DUT and another portion 280 towards receiver 232. See also column 4, lines 2-4.
- 3. As to claim 22, the applicant claims combining multiple beams with light from the DUT. In figure 2, Tan et al discloses couplers 232 and 234 for combining light beams 280 and 284 from the component under test 202 with light beams 243 and 245, split by coupler 226. See also column 4, lines 28-46.
- 4. As to claim 23, the applicant claims splitting the expanded signal into a reference and a test beam. In figure 1, Tan et al discloses reference beams 243 and 245 and test beam 272, split by coupler 226. See also column 4, lines 8-13.
- 5. As to claim 24, the applicant claims combining portions of test beams and reference beams for multiple interferometric measurements. In figure 1, Tan et al discloses couplers 232 and 234, which combine reference beams 243 and 245 with test beams 280 and 284, respectively, which interfere and are received by receivers 238 and 240. See also column 4, lines 62-66; column 5, lines 24-27.
- 6. As to claim 25, the applicant claims focusing a test beam into multiple beams. Using again the broad definition of focus from above, Tan et al discloses in figure 1 the component under test 202, which directs a reflected beam and a

transmitted beam via optical paths 264 and 266, respectively, towards the optical couplers 232 and 234, respectively. See also column 4, lines 32-37.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. . Claims 1-19 are allowed.
- As to claim 1, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to 8. disclose or render obvious a beam expander in combination with a lens array and multiple parallel interferometric measurements.
- Claims 1-10 are allowed since they depend on an allowed independent 9. claim (1).
- As to claim 11, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails 10. to disclose or render obvious a beam expander in combination with multiple parallel interferometric measurements. Claims 12-19 are allowed since they depend on an allowed independent claim (2).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Sorin et al (US Patent No. 6,766,115) discloses simultaneously monitoring 11. multiple ports of an optical device using a single receiver.

The following art is also made of record:

Rosenfeldt (US Patent Application No. 2005/0117159 A1) discloses the 12. determination of properties of an optical device under test using at least one resulting superimposed light beam.

Art Unit: 2877

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Denise B. Anderson whose telephone

number is 571-272-8324. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri

(9:30 AM - 6 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2800

ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

Status information for unpublished applications is available through PAIR.

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2877